UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CURTIS GUY,

3

Case No. 2:11-cv-01809-APG-NJK

Petitioner,

v.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

(ECF No. 101)

WILLIAM GITTERE, et al.,

Respondents.

8

9

14

16

20

21

22

23

After four extensions of time (60 days, 31 days, 30 days and 14 days) the respondents were to respond to the second amended petition by October 30, 2019. On that day, the 11 respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 101) requesting another extension of 12 time, this one five days. The respondents' counsel states that the extension is necessary because 13 of illness and a computer server outage at her office. Guy does not oppose.

The respondents' motion is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, 15 and there is good cause for the extension.

I THEREFORE ORDER that the respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF 17 **No. 101**) is **GRANTED.** The respondents will have until November 4, 2019, to respond to 18 Guy's second amended habeas petition. In all other respects, the schedule for further 19 proceedings set forth in the November 26, 2018 order (ECF No. 85) will remain in effect.

Dated: October 31, 2019.

ANDREW P. GORDON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE